Case Studies in Experiment Design on a minimega Based Network Emulation Testbed

Brian Kocoloski USC/ISI

Alefiya Hussain USC/ISI

Dave DeAngelis USC/ISI

Christopher Symonds

Matthew Troglia Sandia National Labs

> Michael Collins USC/ISI

Calvin Ardi USC/ISI

Ryan Goodfellow USC/ISI

USC University of Southern California

Steven Cheng Sandia National Labs

Stephen Schwab USC/ISI



2021 Workshop on Cyber Security Experimentation and Test (CSET '21)

Sandia National Labs

Information Sciences Institute

DARPA Searchlight Program

- Enterprises need better tools to (1) understand network activity, and (2) manage quality of service
- TA1: Network traffic analysis
 - What does a network look like? What is the topology, what are the paths, etc.
 - What protocols, and more specifically, what applications are on the network?
 - What are the network's performance characteristics?
- TA2: Network resource management
 - Manage QoS between multiple applications of different priorities
 - Communicates with a TA1 to understand network behavior and state



Figure 2: Searchlight Technical Area 1 and Technical Area 2

Test and Evaluation Challenges

- Our objective: systematically evaluate TA1 and TA2 technologies on a variety of representative network conditions and applications
- TA1 evaluation challenges:
 - Evaluate classification accuracy of different applications on the network
 - Many combinations of applications make its job harder
 - Evaluate many different network topologies and network resource configurations
 - Understand impact of VPNs/tunnels on TA1 capabilities
- TA2 evaluation challenges:
 - Deploy high fidelity networks capable of supporting custom layer-2 forwarding applications
 - Virtual Openflow switches, DPDK applications, etc







• Virtualization based network emulation tool from Sandia National Laboratories

• Define and launch VM-based networks through Qemu/KVM

• Layer-2 network virtualized with VLAN-based software bridge (OpenvSwitch), with VXLAN tunnels for emulating networks that span physical nodes

• Orchestrate runtime behavior through a custom command-and-control system

Our experience with minimega

Features we liked and made use of:

- High resource utilization
 - We were somewhat resource limited on our physical testbed (DeterLAB) at the time
- Zero configuration for DHCP/DNS
- minirouter and its centralized routing interface
 - We used a combination of static and OSPF based routing
- Management of images through Qemu snapshots

Challenges we encountered:

- Topology modeling
- Configuration and deployment of traffic

Our experimental needs required us to run several hundreds of experiments with a mixture of different topologies and application traffic mixes



Topology Modeling

```
for i in $(seq 0 7); do
    vm config net foo$i
    vm config h$i
```

done

```
vm config net foo0,foo1,foo2,foo3,foo8
vm start c0
vm config net foo4,foo5,foo6,foo7,foo9
vm start c2
vm config net foo8,foo9
vm start c1
```

- Maintaining lists of VLAN tags can get cumbersome, particularly as topologies grow in size and complexity
- Users of other network emulation testbeds (e.g., Emulab) are often familiar with link-centric specification methods
 - Specify links with endpoints, instead of nodes with network interface cards

Topology Modeling

```
for i in $(seq 0 7); do
    vm config net foo$i
    vm config h$i
```

done

vm config net foo0,foo1,foo2,foo3,foo8
vm start c0
vm config net foo4,foo5,foo6,foo7,foo9
vm start c2
vm config net foo8,foo9
vm start c1



Alternative Network Model

for i in \$(seq 4 7); do
 connect_vms h\$i c2
done

connect_vms c0 c1 connect_vms c2 c1



- We developed VM-to-VM links that remove MAC learning
- More details in the paper

Traffic Modeling

- Minimega has limited traffic generation support through the **protonuke** traffic generator
- Deployment of traffic is done by developing shell scripts which minimega issues to each unique traffic generating end-host
- Challenges:
 - We found ourselves generating redundant shell scripts with significant overlap for things like managing process lifecycles and copying support bundles
 - Lack of traffic realism
- 3 contributions:
 - Development of a set of new traffic applications that extend minimega's native **protonuke** support.
 - Development of a common JSON-based structural abstraction that encodes application configuration
 - Compiler which automates the construction of shell scripts commands

Traffic Modeling: Video Streaming Example

Traffic Modeling: Video Streaming Example

```
"video-streaming" : {
    "h0" : [{
        "target" : 'h2",
        "params" : {
            "client" : {
            "resolution" : "720",
            "protocol" : "hls"
            },
            "server" : {}
        }
    }]
}
```

cc exec bash -c "rm -rf /tmp/miniccc/files/miniccc files//video-streaming/" cc send miniccc files/protonuke clear cc filter cc filter name=h2 cc send miniccc files/video-streaming/www.tar.gz cc send miniccc files/video-streaming/extract-www-tar.sh cc exec bash -c "/tmp/miniccc/files/miniccc files/video-streaming/extract-www-tar.sh" cc background /root/www-video/run.sh clear cc filter cc filter name=h0 cc send miniccc files/video-streaming/client-watch-video.tar.gz cc send miniccc files/video-streaming/extract-client-watch-video-tar.sh cc exec bash -c "/tmp/miniccc/files/miniccc files/video-streaming/extract-client-watch-video-tar.sh" cc background sudo -u searchlight /home/searchlight/client-watch-video/run.sh --server h2:8001 --resolution 720 --protocol hls --time 3

Traffic Types

- Several types supported natively by protonuke
 - File transfer with many protocol variants (SCP, HTTP(s), FTP(s))
 - IRC
 - Email (SMTP)
 - Web Browsing
- Additional types developed by our team
 - SSH text editing
 - Video Streaming
 - HLS, DASH, and HTML5 based options supported
- Compilation
 - Our scripts compile a single JSON based traffic representation into a set of scripts for each client or server endpoint in the topology
 - Removes the need to develop a large collection of miniccc scripts





Case Study: Distributed Topology Discovery



Objective: evaluate how well the system could measure the topological characteristics

• Connectivity, routing (asymmetry + multipath), link criteria (delay/bandwidth/loss)

Case Study: Real-Time Traffic Classification

Objective: evaluate the system's ability to infer the set of applications on the network

- We developed a large collection of unique subsets of applications and determined classification accuracy
- Over 500 individual experiments using 26 different combinations of applications
- Structured, centralized interface to define traffic was instrumental in automating these experiments

Case Study: Distributed Traffic Engineering



bits/second 10 interval SMA

- Objective: evaluate how well the system could achieve a target QoS specification (bandwidth for each application)
- Required VM-to-VM links due to the use of OpenFlow switches in the topology that could generate MAC address migration from the perspective of the minimega OVS switch

Takeaways and Conclusion

- Minimega is a useful, mature tool
 - Zero configuration DHCP/DNS
 - Centralized router configuration
 - VM lifecycles management
 - Image management
- We extended it to make it easier to run a lot of experiments that vary in subtle ways
 - Link centric models instead of VM centric in the minimega API
 - VM-to-VM links to address MAC learning problems
 - Centralized and automated traffic generation routines
- Models developed to run the experiments in the paper will soon be available online: <u>https://mergetb.org/projects/searchlight</u>
- Thanks to the minimega community for a great testbed tool

Brian Kocoloski bkocolos@isi.edu

Backup Slides





- Guest routers construct asymmetric routes
- MAC learning based forwarding becomes problematic, leading to excessive BUM traffic broadcast and/or packet loss



Removes MAC learning from FDB construction